# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—Thursday, May 17, 1990

Luken, Thomas

McDermott

Montgomery

Morrison (CT)

Morrison (WA)

Murtha

Myers

Nagle

Nowak

Obey

Olin

Ortiz

Oxler

Packard

Palione

Panetta

Payne (NJ)

Payne (VA)

Parker

Pease

Pelosi

Penny

Petri

Perkins

Pickett

Poshard

Price Pursell

Rahall

Ray

Ravenel

Rinaldo

Richardson

Pickle

Owens (NY)

Owens (UT)

Long

Roybal

Sangmeister

Sarpalius

Savage

Sawyer

Saxion

Scheuer

Schneider

Schumer

Shumway

Shuster

Sisisky

Skargs

Skeen

Slattery

Smith (FL)

Smith (IA)

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (VT)

Snowe

Solarz

Spence

Spratt

Stark

Stokes

Studds

Swift

Synar

Tallon

Tanner

Tauzin

Taylor

Torres

Towns Traficant

Traxler

Unsoeld

Valentine

Visolosky

Volkmer

Walgren Walsh

Watkins

Weldon

Wheat

Wolpe

Wyden

Wise

Weiss

Waxman

Washington

Vander Jaat

Hehll

Vento

Torricelli

Staggers

Stallings

Stenholm

Serrano

Sharp

Shav

Schiff

Russo

Sabo

The House met at 10 a.m.

The Chaplain, Rev. James David Ford, D.D., offered the following prayer:

Gracious God, help us to take heart and gain purpose from the moral standards of our history. From the commandments of Moses to the traditions of our own families we have received direction to how life should be lived and the values that we ought hold dear. Give us, O God, a greater appreciation and allegiance for the ideas and values that have shaped our consciences, so that we may live in our time with harmony and peace. In Your name we pray. Amen.

### THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

Mr. HASTERT. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 1, rule I, I demand a vote on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the Chair's approval of the Journal.

The question was taken; and the Speaker announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. HASTERT. Mr. Speaker, object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 285, nays 103, not voting 44, as follows:

# [Roll No. 112]

YEAS-285 Ackerman Bosco Conte Anderson Boucher Cooper Boxer Andrews Costello Annunzio Breman Crockett Anthony Darden Brooks Broomfield Applegate Davis DeFazio Archer Browder Brown (CA) Aspin Atkins Dellums Bruce Derrick Bryant AuCoin Dicks Dingeli Barnard Byron Callahan Bartlett Donnelly Campbell (CO) Bateman Downey Bates Cardin Duncan Bellenson Carper Durbin Dennett Chapman Dwyer Clarke Dymaily Bevill Clement Dyson Early Bilbray Clinger Boggs Coleman (TX) Eckart Edwards (CA) Bonior Combest Condit Emerson

Livingston English Lloyd Erdreich Lowey (NY) Espy Evans Fasce11 Manton Fazio Markey Feighan Martin (NY) Flake Martinez Foglictta Matsui Marroules Frank Mazzoli Prost Gallo McCloskey McCollum Geidenson McCrery McCurdy Gephardt Geren Gibbons Gillmor McEwen Gilman McHugh Glickman McMillan (NC) McMillen (MD) Gonzalez Gordon McNulty Gradison Meyers Grant Minne Green Mineta Guarini Moskley Hall (OH) Mollohan Hamilton Morella. Hansen Harris Hatcher Hayes (IL) Mrazek Haves (LA) Hefner Hoagland Natcher Hochbrueckner Neal (MA) Horton Nielson Houghton Hubbard Huckaby Hughes Jenkins Johnson (CT) Johnson (SD) Johnston Jones (GA) Jones (NC) Patterson Jontz Kanjorski Kaptur Kasich Kastenmeier Kennedy Kennelly Kildee Kolter Kostmayer LaFalce Lancaster Lantos Laughlin Leath (TX) Lehman (CA) Lenman (FL) Lent Levin (MI)

Roe Rohrabacher Levine (CA) Lewis (GA) Lipinski Roth Armey Bucchner Baker Bunning Ballenger Burton Barton Bentley Coble Bereuter Bliirakis Bliley

Rochlert

Brown (CO)

Wylie Rostenkowski Yates Yatron NAYS-103 DeLay DeWine Dickinson Campbell (CA) Chandler Dornan (CA) Douglas Dreier Edwards (OK) Coleman (MO) Fawell Coughlin Fields Dannemeyer **Frenzel** 

Rowland (CT) Gallegly Rowland (GA) Gingrich Gooding Goss Grandy Hancock Hastert Heffey Henry Herger Hiler Holioway Hopkins Hyde Inhofe Ireland Jacobs James Kolbe Kvi Leach (IA) Lewis (CA) Slaughter (NY) Lewis (FL) Lightfoot Alexander Bustamante Carr Clay Collins Convers Courter Coyne Craig Crane de la Garza Dixon. Flippo Thomas (GA) Thomas (WY)

Machtlev Madigan Marlenet Martin (IL) McCandless McDade McGrath Michel Miller (OH) Miller (WA) Molinari Moorhead Murphy Parris Pashayan Paxon Porter Quillen Regula Rhodes Lagomarsino Ridge Ritter Roberts Rogers Ros-Lehtinen Lukens, Donald Schaefer NOT VOTING-44 Ford (MD) Ford (TN)

Schroeder Sensenbrenner Shays Sikorski Smith (TX) Smith, Denny (OR) Smith, Robert Smith, Robert (OR) Stangeland Stearns Stump Sundquist Tauke Thomas (CA) Upton Vucanovich Walker Weber Whittaker Wolf Young (AK)

Oberstar Rangel Gaydos Gekns Robinson Roukema Gray Saiki Schuette Gunderson Hammerschmidt Schulze Hawkins Skelton Hunter Slaughter (VA) Kleczka Lowery (CA) Salemon Whitten Miller (CA) Williams Dorgan (ND) Neal (NC) Wilson Nelson Young (FL) Onkar

#### □ 1023

So the Journal was approved. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Tennessee [Mr. Gordon] will please come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. GORDON led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republie for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for

## MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Hallen, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment a concurrent resolution of the House of the following title:

H. Con. Res. 87. Concurrent resolution concerning Iranian persecution of the Baha'is.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed a joint resolution of the following title, in which

☐ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., ☐ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

about how it is going to work out, how it is going to be applied.

The language, how is it going to be worked out? Who is it going to be applied to? What is a food handler?

This term could mean more than restaurant employees. It could be everyone associated with handling food, the cafeteria worker, the guy stacking the cucumbers and oranges in the supermarket, the butcher down at the store.

The amendment says communicable. Many diseases are communicable. Lyme disease is, but it is transmitted by ticks, not by food.

I am concerned about who is going to make the decision, the local health department, the social worker, the medical official, the employer? Who is going to do it? The amendment does not say this.

This amendment will provoke unnecessary fear and suspicion. I am opposed to it, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. FISH. Mr. Chairman, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from California [Mr. Epwards].

Mr. EDWARDS of California. Mr. Chairman, this amendment was offered during the consideration of the bill by the House Judiciary Committee just 2 weeks ago and was defeated. This is the same argument, Mr. Chairman, that was made in the 1950's and 1960's when white customers would not eat in restaurants where black Americans were served. That idea was unacceptable then and it is unacceptable now.

Mr. Chairman, we urge that the amendment be defeated.

Mr. CHAPMAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New Hampshire [Mr. Douglas].

Mr. DOUGLAS. Mr. Chairman, this is the amendment that I offered in the Judiciary Committee on behalf of the NFIB and the National Restaurant Association, because perception is reality. Every one in this room knows that. We run election campaigns on perception. It is reality for our voters.

For the 600,000 restaurants out there, all they are saying is, "We agree with you. We understand, Doctor, that you can't get AIDS because the cook cuts his finger and bleeds into the roast beef when he is preparing it," but the customers out there may not buy that, and when they all leave and the restaurant goes out of business, what have you done for the restaurants in America? Now you have put everybody that works there out of business.

So this is a very narrow amendment. The NRA, the NFIB understand that there will be no economic loss, and that is specifically in the amendment.

It recognizes that unfortunately today there is a perception and there are cases unknown as to the cause of AIDS or some other disease that could be transmitted by blood, could be done

by the chef in the kitchen, and it is just a realistic way of saying we are not going to shut down our restaurants because of that perception. We are going to be fair to them, as well as fair to the folks who have the disease.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Chairman, will the

gentleman yield?

Mr. DOUGLAS. Yes, I am glad to yield to the gentleman from Maryland.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Chairman, both the gentleman from New Hampshire and my very good friend, the distinguished gentleman from Texas, have said this is a narrowly drawn amendment.

Food handlers, would a person who works in the produce department of a store be a food handler? Would a stewardess on an airplane be a food handler?

Mr. DOUGLAS. I would assume that a stewardess handling food who has an infectious or communicable disease of public health significance is a food handler, and I suppose likewise if somebody knew that that person had a disease that meets the requirements of this law, there could be a concern.

All we are saying is, reassign them to some other task or some other job. There is no economic loss to the person. They are as covered as they could be because they are not even going to lose any money. They are going to be reassigned, and I think that is a fair and reasonable task.

#### □ 1810

Mr. FISH. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. Lewis].

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong opposition to this amendment. Twenty-five years after the passage of the major civil rights legislation of the 1960's, we are still hearing the same tired arguments that were used to justify segregated restaurants. They have been dusted off and used again to defend discrimination.

I thought the rhetoric against equal access, equal housing, and equal opportunity was behind us. The Chapman amendment has proven that I was wrong.

I urge my colleagues to listen, listen to our health professionals, listen to our colleagues, the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. Rowland] and the gentleman from Washington [Mr. McDermott], to the statements of Secretary Sullivan, and to the American Medical Association. They will tell you that this amendment is unnecessary and inappropriate.

I urge my colleagues to listen to the health experts, not the hate experts, not the fear experts. We need legislation that seeks to unite this country, not to divide it. We need legislation to promote a sense of one America, one community, one family, the American family.

The Chapman amendment seeks to divide us, to segregate us, to discriminate against us.

This House voted to bring the American people together in 1964, with the passage of the Civil Rights Act. Congress reaffirmed that principle in 1965, with the passage of the 1965 Voting Rights Act, and in 1968, with the Fair Housing Act.

A vote against the Chapman amendment will put this body on record again against division and discrimination. Mr. Chairman, it took us a long time to learn the lesson that separate is never equal. Discrimination was wrong in 1964, it was wrong in 1965, it was wrong in 1968, and it is wrong, dead wrong, in 1990.

Mr. Chairman, I urge Members to defeat this amendment, defeat it here and now.

Mr. FISH. Mr. Chairman, I yield 30 seconds to the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. Jontz].

Mr. JONTZ. Mr. Chairman, I represent Kokomo, IN, and the rural community adjacent to Kokomo where Ryan White lived. Everybody in this country knows the story of Ryan White. Everybody in this country knows how Ryan White was the victim of discrimination and prejudice.

Today the people in my community wish they could make things different, because they know today a lot more than they did then. Ryan White was the victim of prejudice and discrimination, but there is no reason that we need to have more victims. This is the chance to take a stand against the sort of prejudice which Ryan White faced. This is the time to take a stand for all Americans.

Mr. DYMALLY. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman from Texas yield?

Mr. CHAPMAN. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DYMALLY. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to this amendment.

In this Nation, in this decade, there is only one way to deal with an individual who is sick. With dignity, compassion, care, confidentiality, and without discrimination.

Once disease strikes—we don't blame those who are suffering. We don't spurn the accident victim who didn't wear a seatbelt. We don't reject the cancer patient who didn't quit smoking. We try to love them and care for them and comfort them. We do not fire them, or evict them, or cancel their insurance.

Today I call on the House of Representatives to get on with the job of passing a law—as embodied in the Americans with Disabilities Act—which prohibits discrimination against those with HIV and AIDS. We're in a fight against a disease—not a fight against people. And we should not tolerate discrimination.

Mr. CHAPMAN, Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Texas [Mr. DeLay].

Mr. DeLAY. Mr. Chairman, this is incredible. If Members on this side of the aisle had called this a racist issue